

(160) Economic Research Team

Judge Number _____

Team Number _____

Technical Scoring Rubric

Required Elements (If any questions below are checked NO, stop scoring and assign a TOTAL score of 0)	
Team followed topic	<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N
Team followed Copyright and/or Fair Use Guidelines	<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N
If no to either question, please stop scoring and provide a brief reason for the <i>disqualification</i> :	

Items to Evaluate RESEARCH PAPER	Below Average	Average	Good	Excellent	Points Awarded
The team submitted the correct information and in the correct format. <ul style="list-style-type: none">Research Paper and Works Cited- PDF format <i>All points or none are awarded by the technical judge.</i>				10	
Document Formatting Word-processed research paper followed the <i>Style & Reference Manual</i>				20	
Word-processed Works Cited page followed the <i>Style & Reference Manual</i>				20	
Introduction/Summary Logical analysis, evidence to support conclusions, compelling summary	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Comprehension of Topic Demonstrates understanding of subject matter	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Organization and Expansion of Ideas Logical analysis, evidence to support conclusions	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Creativity Diverse resources, creative angle on the issue, originality	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Writing Skills/Mechanics Correct grammar, spelling, punctuation, concise language, sentence structure	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Overall Effectiveness of Analysis	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
TOTAL TECHNICAL POINTS (170 points maximum)					



JUDGE'S COMMENTS

(160) Economic Research Team (S)

Member ID/Team Number _____

Judge Number _____

COMMENTS: (to be viewed by contestant)

(Judges: please provide positive feedback and areas of improvement in a constructive manner regarding your analysis of his/her competition.)

AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT:

REASON FOR DISQUALIFICATION: *(if applicable)*